Lecture to be given at the 36th Lotharingian Seminar, Thurnau, Germany. 19-22 March 1196. Plethysm and the Non-Compact Groups Sp(2n, R)

B.G.Wybourne

Instytut Fizyki, Uniwersytet Mikołaja Kopernika ul. Grudziądzka 5/7 87-100 Toruń Poland Summary

- Why Sp(2n, R) ?
- The $Sp(2n, R) \rightarrow U(n)$ Decomposition
- Plethysms in Sp(2n, R)
- Some New S-function Identities
- Stability Properties of Kronecker Products and Plethysms
- Concluding Remarks

Why Sp(2n, R)?

- Physics Motivation Sp(2n, R) is the dynamical group of the *n*-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator.
- The infinite-dimensional fundamental unitary irreps $\langle s; (0) \rangle$ and $\langle s; (1) \rangle$ are of particular significance as they are spanned by the infinite set of single particle states of *even* and *odd* parity respectively.
- For N-particle systems we need to resolve symmetrised N-th powers of the two fundamental irreps. i.e, Plethysms $s_{\lambda}(\langle s; (0) \rangle)$ and $s_{\lambda}(\langle s; (1) \rangle)$ where $\lambda \vdash N$.
- Arbitrary positive discrete harmonic series irreps of Sp(2n, R) will be labelled as $<\frac{k}{2}$; $(\lambda) >$ or equivalently as $< s\kappa$; $(\lambda) >$ where κ and s are respectively the integer and residue parts of $\frac{k}{2}$.

The $Sp(2n, R) \rightarrow U(n)$ Decomposition

• Under the restriction $Sp(2n, R) \rightarrow U(n)$ a given irrep of Sp(2n, R) decomposes into an infinite set of finite dimensional irreps of the unitary group U(n). In the case of the two fundamental irreps of Sp(2n, R)we have

$$(\langle s; (0) \rangle \to \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} M_+ \tag{1}$$

$$(\langle s;(1) \rangle \to \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} M_{-} \tag{2}$$

where M_+ and M_- are the *even* and *odd* weight S-functions $\{m\}$ appearing in the infinite series

$$M = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \{m\}$$
(3)

• Notice that the expansion is essentially stable with respect to *n*.

• In general one has

$$< \frac{k}{2}; (\lambda) > \rightarrow \varepsilon^{\frac{k}{2}} \cdot \{\{\lambda_s\}_N^k \cdot D_N\}\}_N$$
 (4)

where N = min(n,k), D is the infinite S-function series

$$D = \sum_{\delta} \{\delta\} \tag{5}$$

where the δ are partitions involving only *even* parts. The subscript N means that all terms involving partitions into more than N parts are to be discarded. The first \cdot indicates a product in U(n) and the second \cdot a product in U(N).

- $\{\lambda_s\}^k$ is a signed sequence of terms $\pm\{\rho\}$ such that $\pm\{\rho\}$ is equivalent to $\{\lambda\}$ under the modification rules of the orthogonal group O(k).
- Notice that Eq. (4) is stable for $n \ge k$. Sometimes it is *prematurely stable* for smaller values of n.

• Example, the terms to weight 16 for the decomposition of the irrep < s1; (21) > of Sp(6,R) to U(3) are:-

Plethysms in Sp(2n, R)

• We are primarily interested in plethysms of the form $\{\lambda\}(\langle s;(0) \rangle)$ and $\{\lambda\}(\langle s;(1) \rangle)$. These plethysms involve infinite sets of Sp(2n, R) irreps. No general procedure seems to be known. We can evaluate the terms, up to a given weight by first decomposing the Sp(2n, R) into U(n) irreps, performing the plethysm at the U(n) level and then inverting to get irreps of Sp(2n, R). This has been done for all $\lambda \vdash 4$ and in some cases to $\lambda \vdash 6$. Remarkably, one finds that generally

$$\begin{split} &\{2\}(< s;(0) >) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} <1; (0+4i) > \\ &\{1^2\}(< s;(0) >) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} <1; (2+4i) > \\ &\{2\}(< s;(1) >) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} <1; (2+4i) > \\ &\{1^2\}(< s;(1) >) = <1; (1^2) > + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} <1; (4+4i) > \end{split}$$

This result implies that the following S-function identity must hold

$$\{1^2\}(M_+) \equiv \{2\}(M_-) \tag{6}$$

as indeed may be shown to be the case.

• In precisely the same manner one finds

$$\{1^2\}(L_+) \equiv \{2\}(L_-) \tag{7}$$

where L_+ and L_- are respectively the positive and neagative terms of the series

$$L = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \{1^m\}$$
(8)

Still further identities arise for the infinite S-function series defined by

$$A_{\pm} = L_{\pm}(\{1^2\}) \qquad B_{\pm} = M_{\pm}(\{1^2\}) \\ C_{\pm} = L_{\pm}(\{2\}) \qquad D_{\pm} = M_{\pm}(\{2\})$$
(9)

Use of the associativity property of plethysms leads directly to

$$\{1^2\}(Z_+) \equiv \{2\}(Z_-) \tag{10}$$

for Z = A, B, C, D. Furthermore,

$$\{2\}(Z) = ZZ_+$$
 and $\{1^2\}(Z) = ZZ_-$ (11)

These identities appear to be unknown.

An Unusual S-function Identity

• The study of plethysms within the group Sp(2n, R)leads to still further identities involving infinite series of S-functions. The observation that

$$\{21^2\}(\langle s; (0) \rangle) \equiv \{31\}(\langle s; (1) \rangle)$$
(12)

leads to the remarkable S-function identity

$$\{21^2\}(M_+) \equiv \{31\}(M_-) \tag{13}$$

which generalises to

$$\{\sigma\}(\{1^2\}(M_+)) \equiv \{\sigma\}(\{2\}(M_-))$$
(14)

Again these identities extend to the series Z defined earlier.

Stability of Kronecker Products and Plethysms

- A given plethysm, Kronecker product or decomposition will be said to be *stable* if at the stable value of $n = n_s$ there is a one-to-one mapping between the resultant list of irreps obtained at the stable value n_s and those obtained for all values of $n > n_s$.
- The Sp(2n, R) Kronecker product

$$<\frac{k}{2}(\lambda)> \times <\frac{\ell}{2}(\nu)> = <\frac{(k+\ell)}{2}(\{\lambda_s\}^k \cdot \{\nu_s\}^\ell \cdot D)\}_{k+\ell,n}>$$
(15)

is certainly stable for all $n \ge (k+\ell)$. We say certainly because in some cases premature stability may occur for values of $n < (k+\ell)$.

One observes that the third-order plethysms for the two fundamental irreps stabilise at n = 3 which is consistent with the stabilisation of the products < s; (0) > × < 1; (µ) > and < s; (1) > × < 1; (µ) > at n = 3 and for similar reasons stabilisation of the N-th order plethysms must occur at n = N as observed, Again, premature stabilisation for individual plethysms may occur for n < N. Thus for N = 3 all the plethysms stabilise at n = 2 except for {1³}(< s; (1) >) which stabilises at n = 3. Stabilisation for arbitrary N occurs at n = N.

Plethysm Conjugacy?

• Below we give two short examples of plethysms with terms kept to weight 10

 $\{4\}(< s; (0) >) =$

 $\{1^4\}(< s;(1)>) =$

- Looking at the above results one cannot be but struck by the apparent simple mapping between them. Indeed looking at much more extensive tabulations one observes that the terms in {λ}(< s; (0) >) are simply related to those in {λ}(< s; (1) >) by a one-to-one mapping subject to the following simple rules:-

$$\begin{array}{lll} \lambda \vdash 2 & (0) \rightarrow (1^2) \\ \lambda \vdash 3 & (0) \rightarrow (1^3) & (a) \rightarrow (a1) & (a1) \rightarrow (a) \\ \lambda \vdash 4 & (0) \rightarrow (1^4) & (a) \rightarrow (a1^2) & (a1^2) \rightarrow (a) \\ \lambda \vdash 5 & (0) \rightarrow (1^5) & (a) \rightarrow (a1^3) & (a1^3) \rightarrow (a) \\ & (ab) \rightarrow (ab1) & (ab1) \rightarrow (ab) \\ \lambda \vdash 6 & (0) \rightarrow (1^6) & (a) \rightarrow (a1^4) & (a1^4) \rightarrow (a) \\ & (ab) \rightarrow (ab1^2) & (ab1^2) \rightarrow (ab) \end{array}$$

Concluding Remarks

- The study of plethysms for the non-compact group Sp(2n, R) throws up many surprises that could be of interest to combinatorialists. As the group is associated with infinite dimensional irreps it is not surprising that infinite series of S-functions arise.
- The subject is wide open and barely explored. The conjugacy relations just noticed hint at further structure to be discovered.
- Tables of the relevant plethysms are located at http://www.phys.uni.torun.pl/~bgw/

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by a grant from the Polish KBN. All calculations were made using SCHUR.